IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

INCOME TAX REFERENCE No. 114 of 1995

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE H.N.DEVANI

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

GANDHINAGAR BOTTLING PVT.LTD. - Applicant(s)
Versus
COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR SN SOPARKAR for Applicant(s) : 1,
MR TANVISH U BHATT for Respondent(s) : 1,

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA
and
HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE H.N.DEVANI

Date : 16/02/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA)

1 When the matter is called out Mr.Raju Kothari states that Mr.Soparkar, whose appearance is shown for the applicant, is not appearing in the matter. He has not invited attention to any order made by this Court discharging the learned Advocate or permitting the learned Advocate to withdraw the appearance. Moreover, entire Paper book commencing from the statement of case contains illegible Xerox copies.

2 In the circumstances, when the matter is called out in absence of any appearance on behalf of the applicant and in light of illegible Paper book the Reference is left unanswered and stands disposed of accordingly.

(D.A.MEHTA,J) (H.N.DEVANI,J)

m.m.bhatt